## Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 17 Filed 10/05/05 Page 1 of 4 1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 SAN JOSE DIVISION \*E-FILED - 10/5/05\* 6 COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY 7 CASE NO. 05-CV-01668-RMW (HRL) CORPORATION, 8 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS 9 v. ADR CERTIFICATION 10 VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. USA, 11 Defendants and Counterclaimants. 12 13 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 14 15 The parties stipulate to participate in the following ADR process: 16 **Court Processes:** 17 Arbitration $\boxtimes$ ENE Mediation 18 **Private Process:** 19 Private ADR (please identify process and provider) 20 21 22 Dated: September 2, 2005 HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN LLP 23 24 By: <u>/s/ Lawrence M. Hadley</u> 25 Lawrence M. Hadley 26 Attorneys for Plaintiff COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY 27 CORPORATION, 28 STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS; ADR CERTIFICATION CASE NO. 05-CV-01668 RMW (HRL)

## Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 17 Filed 10/05/05 Page 2 of 4

	1		
1	Dated:	September 2, 2005	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation
2			Trotossional Corporation
3			By: /s/ Matthew R. Reed Matthew R. Reed
4			
5			Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. USA
6			VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. USA
7 8	IT IS SO	ORDERED:	
9	11 15 50	ORDERED.	
10	Dated: _	10/5/05	/S/ RONALD M. WHYTE
11			Hon. Ronald M. Whyte UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			BUMB 0200702 1700
	I CTIDIII AT	TION AND OPDED SELECTING ADD	

1	SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION	N BY PARTIES AND LEAD TRIAL COUNSEL		
2				
3	Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 16 and ADR L.R. 3-5(b), each of the undersigned certifies that he			
4	or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern			
5	District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR Unit's Internet site			
6	<a href="https://www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov">www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov</a> >, discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by			
7	the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit from any of them.			
8	(Note: This Certification must be signed by each party and its counsel.)			
9				
10	Dated: September 2, 2005	COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY CORPORATION,		
11		By: /s/ Robert Berman		
12		Robert Berman		
13				
14	Dated: September 2, 2005	HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN LLP		
15		By: /s/ Lawrence M. Hadley Lawrence M. Hadley		
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff		
17		COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY CORPORATION,		
18				
19	Dated: September 2, 2005	VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. USA		
20 21		By: /s/ Claire Lin Claire Lin		
22		Claire Lin		
23	Dated: _September 2, 2005	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI		
24	Dated. September 2, 2005	Professional Corporation		
25		By: /s/ Matthew R. Reed Matthew R. Reed		
26		Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants		
27		VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. USA		
28				
	CTIDI II ATION AND ODDED SELECTING ADD	PALIB1_2699785_1.DOC		

1	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>		
2	I, Matthew R. Reed, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used		
3	to file the Joint Rule 26(f) Report, Joint Case Management Statement and [Proposed] Case		
4	Management Order. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Lawrence		
5	Hadley and Robert Berman have concurred in this filing.		
6			
7 8	Dated: September 2, 2005 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation		
9			
10	By: /s/ Matthew R. Reed  Matthew R. Reed		
11	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants		
12	VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. USA		
13	VIII 12011 (020 0122, 11 (0) 0212		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			